Investigation of electric field and evidence of charge multiplication by Edge-TCT

<u>G.Kramberger</u>, V. Cindro, I. Mandić, M. Mikuž, M. Milovanović, M. Zavrtanik Jožef Stefan Institute Ljubljana, Slovenia

Motivation

3/12/2012

High CCE measured from different groups with silicon strip detectors at high fluences and high bias voltages (L'pool, Ljubljana). Device modeling using extrapolated parameters from low fluence region fails completely!



We need a new tool to identify electric field and multiplication effects! Ideal tool for investigation of electric field and charge multiplication in silicon detectors (paticularly heavily irradiated) is Edge-TCT!

The work presented in this work is submitted to IEEE-TNS.

G. Kramberger,Investigation of electric field and evidence of charge multiplication by Edge-TCT, 15th RD50 Workshop, CERN, 2009

"Edge-TCT" - emulation of grazing technique



Advantages:

3/12/2012

- Position of e-h generation can be controlled by moving tables
- the amount of injected e-h pairs can be controlled by tuning the laser power
- easier mounting and handling
- not only charge but also induced current is measured a lot more information is obtained <u>Drawbacks:</u>
- Applicable only for strip/pixel detectors if 1060 nm laser is used (light must penetrate guard ring region)
- Only the position perpendicular to strips can be used due to widening of the beam! Beam is "tuned" for a
 particular strip
- Light injection side has to be polished to have a good focus depth resolution
- It is not possible to study charge sharing due to illumination of all strips



trigger line

G. Kramberger, Investigation of electric field and evidence of charge multiplication by Edge-TCT, 15th RD50 Workshop, CERN, 2009

Samples

3/12/2012

- n⁺-p Micron SSD detector (1x1 cm²) ATLAS geometry (RD50 Micron run)
 - \Box 300 μ m thick
 - B0 μm pitch
- Initial full depletion voltage $V_{fd} \sim 16$ V
- 3 polished samples were available:
 - non-irradiated
 - $\Box \Phi_{eq} = 5.10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ (reactor neutrons), measured at -5°C
 - $\Box \Phi_{eq}$ =5·10¹⁵ cm⁻² (reactor neutrons), measured at -20°C (*IBL target fluence*)

Samples were annealed for 80 min at 60°C before the measurements!

For behavior during annealing see next talk (M. Milovanović)!

Current pulses – non-irradiated!





Position scan at 100 V:

•Long tail from drift of holes

Current due to electron drift is superimposed!
Shortest signal at y=220 μm (equal drift length of electrons and holes)

Bias scan at y=20 µm:

•Hole tail is getting shorter with bias

•Electron peak is getting higher with bias (the peak time is getting shorter)

G. Kramberger, Investigation of electric field and evidence of charge multiplication by Edge-TCT , 15th RD50 Workshop, CERN, 2009



Position scan at 500 V:

3/12/2012

•Depth of *E* field region can be seen (y~200 μm)

- •"Double peak" can be observed larger signal at the back than in the center
- •Signals are short larger bias + trapping

Bias scan at y=30 µm:

•Width and height increase with bias (larger depleted region)

- •Strong trapping of holes!
- •Peaking time is reduced with higher bias



G. Kramberger, Investigation of electric field and evidence of charge multiplication by Edge-TCT, 15th RD50 Workshop, CERN, 2009

$$Prompt current method - PCM$$

$$= const.$$

$$I(y, t \sim 0) \approx \frac{Ae_0 N_{e,h}}{W} \left[\overline{v}_e(y) + \overline{v}_h(y) \right] , \quad t << \tau_{eff,e,h}$$

Rise time of our system was 600 ps (10%-90%). Current taken at 300 ps was used to determine **velocity profile** ! The trapping can be completely taken out of the equation!



G. Kramberger, Investigation of electric field and evidence of charge multiplication by Edge-TCT, 15th RD50 Workshop, CERN, 2009

PCM – extraction of electric field

$$I(y) \approx \frac{Ae_0 N_{e,h}}{W} \left[\mu_e + \mu_h \right] \overline{E}(y)$$
$$V_{bias} = \int_0^W \overline{E}(y) dy \Rightarrow \frac{Ae_0 N_{e,h}}{W}$$

3/12/2012

Constraint on bias voltage can be used to determine the proportionality!

non-irradiated detector



G. Kramberger, Investigation of electric field and evidence of charge multiplication by Edge-TCT, 15th RD50 Workshop, CERN, 2009

PCM – electric field for Φ_{ea} =5·10¹⁴ cm⁻²



Workshop, CERN, 2009

Delayed peak method - DPM

Is there a way to determine absolute velocity?

Position measurements are suitable for that:



How well do the data from DPM and PCM agree?



Good agreement was found. Methods can be used to cross-check each other.

3/12/2012

G. Kramberger,Investigation of electric field and evidence of charge multiplication by Edge-TCT , 15th RD50 Workshop, CERN, 2009

Charge collection profile



Identification of regions of high and low detector efficiency – "grazing technique"!

Non-irradiated detector:

 peak at the back (junction due to different p⁺,p concentrations)

•at $V < V_{fd}$ front is more efficient •at $V > V_{cd}$ back is more efficient du

•at $V > V_{fd}$ back is more efficient, due to ballistic deficit

•at $V >> V_{fd}$ all regions are equally efficient



Charge collection profile Φ_{ea} =5·10¹⁴ cm⁻²



Detector irradiated to 5.10¹⁵ cm⁻²

Different evidences were found which all lead to the conclusion that charge multiplication takes place in the device!

3/12/2012

16

<u>1st</u> observation: A second peak emerges in the induced current signals which is related to electron drift (it shifts when moving away from the strip)!

It can only be explained by electrons entering very high field at the strips where they multiply. The second peak is a consequence of holes drifting away from the strips!



3/12/2012

The change of 2nd peak amplitude can be used to estimate electron trapping times:

$$\frac{I(y=175\mu\mathrm{m}, t_{p2}=2.69\,\mathrm{ns})}{I(y=125\mu\mathrm{m}, t_{p2}=2.16\,\mathrm{ns})} \approx \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta t_{p2}}{\tau_{eff,e}}\right) \rightarrow \tau_{eff,e} = 670\,ps$$

 $\tau_{eff,e}$ ~600 ps in good agreement with measurements of effective trapping times!

From short decay of $I(y=25 \ \mu m)$ one can conclude that $\tau_{eff,h}$ is short (in 700 ps holes drift 50-60 μm . At y<100 μm the field is present)

G. Kramberger,Investigation of electric field and evidence of charge multiplication by Edge-TCT , 15th RD50 Workshop, CERN, 2009 <u>**2nd observation:**</u> Velocity and electric field profiles do not give consistent picture if number of drifting carriers does not increase in some parts of the detector.

Prompt current method – velocity profile





•Lower fields at the strip side for low voltages

3/12/2012

Significant field in the detector at moderate voltages – E>0.33 V/μm for 600 V.
Due to saturation of velocity the determination of the field becomes impossible, but the signal still rises at small *y*!

<u>**3**rd observation</u>: The peak in the initial current at y=30 μ m is prolonged at higher voltages. Drift of multiplied holes prolongs the signal.



G. Kramberger,Investigation of electric field and evidence of charge multiplication by Edge-TCT, 15th RD50 Workshop, CERN, 2009

3/12/2012

4th observation: Charge collection profile and Q_{mip} correlation with current



High bias voltage significantly improves charge collection!

3/12/2012

Leakage current and <Q> are correlated!

Conclusions

- Edge-TCT is a very powerful tool for investigation of irradiated silicon detectors
- Two methods: Prompt current pulse and Delayed Current Pulse were proposed to determine charge collection, velocity and electric field profiles
- The results of non-irradiated and detector irradiated to 5.10¹⁴ cm⁻² are in agreement with expectations.
- Several evidences were found of charge multiplication in the device irradiated to 5.10¹⁵ cm⁻²
- Substantial electric field is present in whole detector already at moderate voltages

Future

3/12/2012

- Quantization of the avalanche multiplication and its dependence on voltage fluence and annealing
- Building a new device model
- Imapact of device processing ?