

Seminar, U. Geneva, April 10, 2024

Belle II - selected results, status and plans

Univerza v Ljubljani



Peter Križan University of Ljubljana and J. Stefan Institute







Contents



- Belle II at SuperKEKB
- Physics topics
- Recent results
- Outlook





Flavour physics in searches for new particles – two historic examples

Possibly the most prominent example: the prediction of the charm quark based on the unexpectedly low rate of the rare kaon decay $K^0 \rightarrow \mu + \mu$ -

1987: ARGUS (and UA1) discovered a large BB mixing: B⁰ turns into anti-B⁰

Large mixing rate → high top mass (in the Standard Model)
The top quark has only been discovered seven years later!





ARGUS: A fully reconstructed event where an anti-B⁰ turns into a B⁰

Physics of B mesons at asymmetric B factories

Played a central role in particle physics from 2001 to 2010

Established the complex unitary Cabbibo-Kobayashi-Maskawa quark transition matrix as the source of CP violation in SM

CP violation in B system: from the discovery (2001) to a precision measurement





Constraints from measurements of angles and sides of the unitarity triangle → Remarkable agreement

 \rightarrow Nobel prize for Kobayashi and Maskawa

B factories: a success story

- Measurements of CKM matrix elements and angles of the unitarity triangle
- Observation of direct CP violation in B decays
- Measurements of rare decay modes (e.g., $B \rightarrow \tau v$, $D \tau v$)
- $b \rightarrow s$ transitions: probe for new sources of CPV and constraints from the $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ branching fraction
- Study forward-backward asymmetry (A_{FB}) in $b \rightarrow sl^+l^-$
- First look at the possible violation of lepton flavour universality
- Observation of D mixing
- Searches for rare τ decays
- Observation of new hadrons

Flavour Physics in 2024

The standard model of particle physics is in a great shape, after decades of deep investigation and precision measurements, all phenomena happening at colliders are accounted for.

However, in the past years, interesting hints of departures from SM expectations have been accumulating:

→ hints of violation of Lepton Flavor Universality, e.g., the anomaly in

 $\mathsf{R}(\mathsf{D}^{(*)}) = \mathsf{BR}(\mathsf{B} \to \mathsf{D}^{(*)} \tau \nu) / \mathsf{BR}(\mathsf{B} \to \mathsf{D}^{(*)} | \nu)$

 → (partial) branching fractions and angular observables of B decays dominated by loop amplitudes;
 → (g-2)_µ



Taken one by one, these anomalies are not striking, but they seem to paint an interesting picture...

Flavor physics at an e⁺e⁻ collider

- Clear disadvantage against the LHC in terms of cross sections, but:
- Many of the interesting modes (not only for flavor physics) are unique to B Factories:
- → channels with π^0 , K_L, η ('), ... ;
- \rightarrow final states with one or more v's;
- → modes affected by "difficult" backgrounds, where the full knowledge of the kinematics in the event is the only way to control them;
- \rightarrow a variety of inclusive measurements can be performed.

• In general: a wider spectrum of measurements allows for a better understanding (or highlights our lack of...).

• And extraordinary claims require extraordinary evidence: we need an independent confirmation for as many modes as possible.

A B factory in the LHC era

Fantastic performance of LHCb with many interesting results!

Still, an e⁺e⁻ machine running at (or near) Y(4S) is complementary to LHCb in several aspects.

Unique capabilities of a B factory:

- $\rightarrow\,$ Exactly two B mesons produced
- \rightarrow High flavour tagging efficiency
- → Detection of gammas, π^0 s, K_Ls



Physics potential summarized in Belle II Theory Interface Platform (B2TiP) 'physics book' PTEP 2019 (2019) 123C01, arXiv:1808.10567

However, need a two-orders-of-magnitude larger data sample!

 \rightarrow Increase by 30x the luminosity of a world record accelerator



How to increase the luminosity?





In KEKB, colliding electron and positron beams were already much thinner than a human hair... ... For a 30x increase in intensity you have to make the beam as thin as a few x100 atomic layers!



[SR Channel]

[Beam Channel]

To get x30 higher luminosity

Detector: Belle \rightarrow Belle II





Vertex detector of Belle II





Belle II VXD

•PXD: DEPFET based pixel detector; layer 1 and a part (two ladders) of layer 2,
•SVD (4 layers): double-sided silicon strip detector →installed on Nov 21, 2018

LS1 (2022-2023): reinstall with a complete layer 2 of the PXD





Radiator with multiple refractive indices

Small number of photons from aerogel \rightarrow need a thick layer of aerogel. How to improve the resolution by keeping the same number of photons?



The big eye of ARICH



Barrel PID: Time of propagation (TOP) counter



- Cherenkov ring imaging with precise time measurement.
- Reconstruct Cherenkov angle from two hit coordinates and

the time of propagation of the photon

- Quartz radiator (2cm thick)
- Photon detector (MCP-PMT)
 - Excellent time resolution ~ 40 ps
 - Single photon sensitivity in 1.5 T

Inspired by the DIRC detector of the BaBar experiment, a similar device (TORCH) is planned for LHCb

PID Performance



SuperKEKB/Belle II phases

• Phase 1(2016): no detector, no collision, test the rings, baking the 3km of the accelerator vacuum chambers

- Phase 2 (2018): first collisions with complete accelerator
 - Incomplete detector: Vertex detector replaced by dedicated background detector (Beast 2)
- Phase 3 (2019-): luminosity run with complete detector
 - Pixel Detector (PXD): layer 1 + partly equipped layer 2
 - Full 4-layers strip detector (SVD)
 - First physics paper appeared in January 2020
- New and difficult accelerator. Additional operational complexity during the pandemic
- Record peak luminosity $4.7 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$
- Path to reach 2×10^{35} cm⁻² s⁻¹ identified.
- More effort needed to reach the target peak luminosity of 6 \times 10³⁵ cm⁻² s⁻¹



Very successful data taking throughout the pandemic

- -overall data taking efficiency of 89.5%
- -reached world record instantaneous luminosity: $4.7 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$, collected up to 15 fb^{-1} per week: Super-B factory mode
- -recorded luminosity at Belle II: 428 fb⁻¹ (Belle 988 fb⁻¹, BaBar 513 fb⁻¹)

Ultimate goal: reach 50 ab^{-1} by operating at the instantaneous luminosity of 6 x 10^{35} cm⁻² s⁻¹

Physics program



Recent results – selected topics

This talk - a subselection of recent results:

- •Lifetimes of charmed hadrons
- •Time dependent CP violation on $B^0 \to J/\psi~K_S$ and $\eta'~K_S$ decays
- •Test of Lepton Flavour Universality: $R(D^*) = BR(B \rightarrow D^* \tau v) / BR(B \rightarrow D^* | v)$
- •Searches for new physics in rare and forbidden decays in b \rightarrow s transitions: B[±] \rightarrow K[±] vv, B \rightarrow K^(*) $\tau\tau$, B \rightarrow K^(*) ℓ
- •Tau lepton mass
- Dark sector searches

D⁰ and D⁺ lifetime measurements

Example of improved performance of Belle II vs Belle: time-dependent capabilities in D lifetime measurements.

The addition of a pixel vertex detector (with a 1cm radius beam pipe) gives a *factor of two improvement* in proper time resolution for charm lifetime measurements compared to Belle. Alignment systematics are much improved.



Lifetimes of charmed hadrons



Used early Belle II data to measure lifetimes of charm hadrons

- World-best D⁰, D⁺ and Λ_c^+ lifetimes (first Belle II precision measurements)
- \bullet Confirmation of LHCb result indicating that the $\Omega_c{}^0\,$ is not the shortest-lived weakly decaying charmed baryon

Tiny systematic uncertainties (e.g., 2‰ for D⁰) demonstrate excellent performance and understanding of the Belle II detector, never achieved at previous B factories

Time dependent analyses



 $<\Delta z > \sim 130 \ \mu m at Belle II$

Flagship measurement of the B Factories, still very important at Belle II;

$\mathcal{A}_f(\Delta t)$	=	$\frac{\Gamma(\overline{B}{}^{0}(\Delta t) \to f) - \Gamma(B^{0}(\Delta t) \to f)}{\Gamma(\overline{B}{}^{0}(\Delta t) \to f) + \Gamma(B^{0}(\Delta t) \to f)}$
	=	$S_f \sin(\Delta m_B \Delta t) - C_f \cos(\Delta m_B \Delta t)$

 S_f : time dependent asymmetry C_f : time integrated (or direct) asymmetry

Quite complicated analysis, several ingredients must be in place:

- 1) ability to identify the flavor (B⁰ or B⁰) of the unreconstructed B (flavor tagging);
- 2) B-decay vertices resolution;
- 3) signal side efficiency, background modeling.

Fully exploiting the quantum entanglement of the two B mesons!

Progress in B flavor tagging

The first CP violation analyses in Belle II relied on a category-based (CB)

algorithm [Eur. Phys. J 82, 283 (2022)];

We explored a more advanced algorithm, GFIaT, based on a graph convolutional neural network, exploiting 25 variables for each track from the unreconstructed B decay (for up to 16 tracks);

The performance is evaluated from a time dependent analysis of self-tagging $B^0 \rightarrow D^{(*)}\pi^+$ decays;

We measure an impressive increase in the effective tagging efficiency, compared to the previous algorithm:

$$\varepsilon_{\text{tag,CB}} = (31.7 \pm 0.5 \pm 0.4)\%$$

 $\varepsilon_{\text{tag,GFlaT}} = (37.4 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.3)\%$



This corresponds to ~18% more luminosity available for CP violation analyses!

sin2 ϕ_1 / sin2 β from B^0 \rightarrow J/ ψ K_S

- We update the flagship measurement of the B factories using the full Belle II data set and the GFlaT flavor tagger;
- We fit the ∆E distribution of the selected candidates in order to subtract the backgrounds;
- We then fit the background subtracted ∆t distributions and measure the CP violating parameters:

$$S = 0.724 \pm 0.035 \pm 0.014$$
$$C = -0.035 \pm 0.026 \pm 0.013$$

• This is well compatible with the world averages and the latest LHCb result (which is a factor ~2 more precise).



sin2 ϕ_1 / sin2 β from $B^0 \rightarrow \eta^\prime \, K_S$

Motivations: the time dependent CP violation in $B^0 \rightarrow \eta' K_S$ (proceeding through loop diagrams) is expected to be the same observed in $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S$ (tree);

Any significant deviation would be an indication of new physics;

We reconstruct the sub-channels: $\eta' \rightarrow \eta(\rightarrow \gamma \gamma)\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\eta' \rightarrow \rho^0 \gamma$, and determine their yields with a three dimensional fit:





sin2 ϕ_1 / sin2 β from B⁰ $\rightarrow \eta$ ' K_S

With the yields (~800 signal events in total) fixed from the previous step, we perform the time dependent fit:

We find:

$$C_{\eta'K_S^0} = -0.19 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.03$$
$$S_{\eta'K_S^0} = 0.67 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.04$$

which is in good agreement with both the world average and the $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi$ K_S result.



Full Event Interpretation (FEI)

Idea: reconstruct one of the B's to tag B flavor/charge, determine its momentum, and exclude decay products of this B from further analysis (exactly two B's produced in Y(4S) decays)



Powerful tool for B decays with neutrinos

 \rightarrow unique feature at B factories

Belle II on lepton flavour anomalies: the first R(D^{*}) measurement

One of the outstanding anomalies, pointing towards a violation of the Lepton Flavor Universality:

$$R(D^{(*)}) = \frac{\mathcal{B}(\overline{B} \to D^{(*)}\tau^-\overline{\nu}_\tau)}{\mathcal{B}(\overline{B} \to D^{(*)}\ell^-\overline{\nu}_\ell)}$$

Experimental challenges: backgrounds are difficult to control, due to at least two n's in the final state, no clear signal peak;

First Belle II measurement of $R(D^*)$: we use the Full Event Interpretation (same as $B \rightarrow Knn HTA$), to have the strongest control of the backgrounds, at the price of reducing the statistics.

arXiv:2401.02840 [hep-ex]



First R(D^{*}) measurement at Belle II

Analysis strategy: we extract the signal from a 2D fit on the variables:



The major backgrounds are validated on data sidebands:

low q² sideband (D^{*} I n enhanced); extra p⁰ selection (D^{**} I n enriched); $\Delta m = m(D^*) - m(D)$ sideband (fake D^{*}). Using only ~50% of the statistics available at Belle II

First R(D^{*}) measurement at Belle II

Result:

 $R(D^*) = 0.262 \stackrel{+0.041}{_{-0.039}}(\text{stat.}) \stackrel{+0.035}{_{-0.032}}(\text{syst.})$

arXiv:2401.02840 [hep-ex]

40% improvement in the statistical precision compared to Belle with the same luminosity



Compatible with both the SM predictions and the World average, we need more data, and also the measurement of R(D), to shed more light on this problem.

$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \nu \nu - motivations$

Very suppressed in the SM, proceeding only through box/loop diagrams;

Expected BR: (5.6 ± 0.4) x 10⁻⁶ [Phys. Rev. D 107, 014511 (2023)];



It could be enhanced by new physics contributions, and be connected to other anomalies seen in $b \rightarrow s \ l^+l^-$, $R(D^{(*)})$, $(g-2)_{\mu}$, ... - or come from something even more exotic...



Very challenging from the experimental point of view: at least two v's in the final state, controlling the backgrounds is crucial.

Upper limits provided by BaBar [HAD, SL] and Belle [HAD, SL], exploiting the reconstruction of the other B in the event in a hadronic or semileptonic final state.

34

$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \nu \nu - event$

One B decaying to K^+ + nothing visible (v v)



$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \nu \nu -$ experimental approaches

Two techniques utilized in parallel at Belle II:

<u>arXiv:2311.14647 [hep-ex]</u>



Hadronic Tag Analysis (HTA):

stronger control of the backgrounds, but lower efficiency. Relying on the Full Event Interpretation (FEI) algorithm [Comput. Softw. Big Sci 3, 6 (2019)] Inclusive Tag Analysis (ITA): first

tried at Belle II, background suppression relies on the properties of the *Rest Of the Event (ROE),* which should correspond to the other B in the event

The two analyses are (almost) statistically independent

36

$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \nu \nu - selection$

We select a kaon candidate track (PID efficiency ~68%, $p \rightarrow K$ mis-ID rate 1.2%);

arXiv:2311.14647 [hep-ex]

If two K candidates are present in the ITA, we select that with the lowest q^2 :

 $q_{\rm rec}^2 = s/(4c^4) + M_K^2 - \sqrt{s}E_K^*/c^4$ (the choice is correct in ~96% of the cases)

Variables sensitive to the signal properties, event shape, extra particles in the event, ..., are combined in one (for HTA) or two successive (for ITA) BDT's;



We validate the ITA procedure and signal efficiency using $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ J/\psi(\rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)$;



arXiv:2311.14647 [hep-ex]

Peter Križan, Ljubljana

38

 $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \nu \nu - results$

The signal is extracted in bins of the transformed (flat in efficiency) output η of the BDT (and q² for ITA):



 $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \nu \nu - results$



 3.5σ above the background only hypothesis, 2.7σ above the SM expectation

Exciting result, to be confirmed with Belle ITA, semileptonic tagged analysis and the investigation of more $B \rightarrow K^{(*)} v v$ modes.

40

$B \rightarrow K^{(*)} \tau^+ \tau^-$

- * SM Expected BF $\mathcal{O}(10^{-7})$ [1]
- Correlation with $R_{D^{(\ast)}}$ [2]
- Large enhancements to SM BF $\mathcal{O}(10^2 10^3)$

$$C_{9}^{\tau\tau} = C_{10}^{\tau\tau} \sim -\frac{2\pi}{\alpha} \frac{V_{cb}}{V_{tb} V_{ts}^{*}} \left(\sqrt{\frac{R_{D^{(*)}}}{R_{D^{(*)}}^{SM}}} - 1 \right) [3]$$



Decay	BF U.L. @ 90% CL				c	
$B_s \rightarrow \tau \tau$	5.2x10 ⁻³	<u>ьнср</u> гнср	$3fb^{-1}$	PRL 118.251802 (2017)		
$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \tau \tau$	2.3x10 ⁻³	BABAR	$424fb^{-1}$	PRL 118.031802 (2017)		
$B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \tau \tau$	3.1x10 ⁻³	Bello	$711{\rm fb}^{-1}$	2110.03871		

Current sensitivity far from \mathscr{B}_{SM} !



Belle II projections 2207.06307

$\mathcal{B}(B^0 \to K^{*0} \tau \tau) \text{ (had tag)}$						
ab^{-1}	"Baseline" scenario	"Improved" scenario				
1	$< 3.2 imes 10^{-3}$	$< 1.2 imes 10^{-3}$				
5	$< 2.0 imes 10^{-3}$	$< 6.8 imes 10^{-4}$				
10	$< 1.8 imes 10^{-3}$	$< 6.5 \times 10^{-4}$				
50	$< 1.6 imes 10^{-3}$	$< 5.3 imes 10^{-4}$				
		K				

Increased signal efficiency while assuming same syst. unc. as Belle (Total 9%: MC sample size 4%, B_{tag} eff. correction 5%, track eff. 4%) Improvement @ Belle II



- ~2x hadronic B-tagging efficiency: FR → FEI
- Multivariate analysis
- Add $\tau \rightarrow \rho \nu$ modes

Will offer unprecedented sensitivity in $B \to K \tau \tau$ decays

E^{extra}

(GeV)

Lepton flavour violating b \rightarrow s: B \rightarrow K^(*) $\tau \mu/e$

- $b \rightarrow s \ell \ell'$ probed at B-factories and LHCb
- $B \rightarrow K\tau \ell$ more interesting ($R_{K^{(*)}} \& R_{D^{(*)}}$ anomalies) but experimentally more challenging - Sensitivity is entering now the 10⁻⁶ regime



Status of B $\rightarrow K^{(*)} \tau \mu/e$



No significant signal is observed for any of the 4 modes

Mode	arepsilon(%)	$N_{ m sig}$	$\mathcal{B}^{\mathrm{UL}}(10^{-5})$	@90%CL
$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \tau^+ \mu^-$	0.064 -	-2.1 ± 2.9	0.59	
$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \tau^+ e^-$	0.084	1.5 ± 5.5	1.51	
$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \tau^- \mu^+$	-0.046	2.3 ± 4.1	2.45	
$B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \tau^- e^+$	0.079 -	-1.1 ± 7.4	1.53	







- × LHCb (9 fb⁻¹) expected
- LHCb (9 fb⁻¹)
- × Belle (711 fb⁻¹) expected
- O Belle (711 fb⁻¹)
- Hadronic B-tagging $B_{s2}^{*0} \rightarrow B^+K^-$ tagged

Hadronic B-tagging

Best limits for the $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \tau \ell$ modes!

τ mass measurement

Fundamental parameter of the standard model Important input to lepton-flavour universality tests

$$R_e = \frac{\mathcal{B}[\tau^- \to e^- \bar{\nu_e} \nu_\tau]}{\mathcal{B}[\mu^- \to e^- \bar{\nu_e} \nu_\mu]} \qquad \left(\frac{g_\tau}{g_\mu}\right)_e = \sqrt{R_e \frac{\tau_\mu}{\tau_\tau} \frac{m_\mu^3}{m_\tau^3} (1+\delta_W)(1+\delta_\gamma)}$$

(δs are radiative corrections)

We use the pseudomass variable to determine the mass



τ mass measurement



World's most precise measurement to date

Impact on other precision measurements

Dark sector searches

In many extensions of the SM, there exist a dark sector, that interacts with the SM particles via a weakly coupled mediator;

If the mass of the mediator is in the [0.01 - 10] GeV range, this could be accessible to Belle II;



Belle II implements trigger strategies that were not available to Belle, thus opening new territories even with smaller luminosity:



Dark sector searches



Outlook





Ultimate goal: reach 50/ab by operating at the design luminosity of $6 \times 10^{35} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$

Current working plan follows the KEK Roadmap2020 -LS1 in 2022-23 for the full pixel vertex detector (PXD) installation & partial replacement of MCP-PMTs in TOP – successfully completed -an interaction region upgrade (LS2) \gtrsim 2027 under study \rightarrow https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.11349

Beyond: discussions of physics and detector options with an upgraded accelerator to reach an even larger data sample of \sim 250/ab



Physics of b and c hadrons and t leptons has **contributed substantially** to our present understanding of elementary particles and their interactions

B, D and τ decays have been and continue being a very hot topic in searches for new physics. Intriguing phenomena that have been seen in recent years make this research area one of the most interesting in particle physics.

Belle II has entered the super-B-factory regime.

Expect a new, exciting era of discoveries, and a friendly competition and complementarity of Belle II and LHCb, as well ATLAS and CMS