



Universität Karlsruhe (TH) Research University • founded 1825

Experiments at e⁺-e⁻ flavour factories and LHCb

Part 2: Belle and BaBar II

Peter Križan

University of Ljubljana and J. Stefan Institute



University of Ljubljana



"Jožef Stefan" Institute





Unitary triangle: one of the sides is determined by V_{ub}





|V_{ub}**| measurements**





From semileptonic B decays

 $b \rightarrow cl_{\nu}$ background typically an order of magnitude larger.

Traditional inclusive method: fight the background from $b \rightarrow cl_V$ decays by using only events with electron momentum above the $b \rightarrow cl_V$ kinematic limit. Problem: extrapolation to the full phase space \rightarrow large theoretical uncertainty.

New method: fully reconstruct one of the B mesons, check the properties of the other (semileptonic decay, low mass of the hadronic system)

- •Very good signal to noise
- •Low yield (full reconstruction efficiency is 0.3-0.4%)



Full Reconstruction Method

Fully reconstruct one of the B's to

- Tag B flavor/charge
- Determine B momentum
- Exclude decay products of one B from further analysis



 \rightarrow Offline B meson beam!

Powerful tool for B decays with neutrinos



Fully reconstructed sample



 $M_{hc} (GeV/c^2)$



Use the mass of the hadronic system M_x as the discriminating variable against $b \to c l_V$

 $M_x = mass of all hadrons from the B decay.$ Expect:

•M_x for $b \rightarrow cl_{v}$ to be above 1.8 GeV ($b \rightarrow cl_{v}$ results in a D meson with >1.8 GeV)

• M_x for $b \rightarrow ul_v$ to be mainly below 1.8 GeV ($B \rightarrow \pi l_v, \rho l_v, \omega l_v$...)







All measurements combined...

Constraints from measurements of angles and sides of the unitarity triangle \rightarrow



→Remarkable agreement



B

- Challenge: B decay with at least two neutrinos
- Proceeds via W annihilation in the SM.
- Branching fraction

$$\mathcal{B}(B^- \to \ell^- \bar{\nu}) = \frac{G_F^2 m_B m_\ell^2}{8\pi} \left(1 - \frac{m_\ell^2}{m_B^2}\right)^2 f_B^2 |V_{ub}|^2 \tau_B$$

- Provide information of $f_B |V_{ub}|$
 - $|V_{ub}| \text{ from } B \rightarrow X_u | v \implies f_B \qquad (f) \text{ Lattice}$
 - $\operatorname{Br}(B \to \tau \nu) / \Delta m_{d} \qquad \Longrightarrow |V_{ub}| / |V_{td}|$
- Limits on charged Higgs



Event candidate $B^- \rightarrow \tau^- \nu_{\tau}$





 $B \rightarrow \tau \nu$

τ decay modes

$$\tau^- \to \mu^- \nu \overline{\nu}, e^- \nu \overline{\nu}$$
 $\tau^- \to \pi^- \nu, \pi^- \pi^0 \nu, \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^- \nu$

- Cover 81% of τ decays
- Efficiency 15.8%

Event selection

 Main discriminant: extra neutral ECL energy

Fit to $E_{residual} \rightarrow 17.2^{+5.3}_{-4.7}$ signal events.

 \rightarrow 3.5 σ significance including systematics





 $B \rightarrow \tau \nu_{\tau}$

$$\Rightarrow \quad BF(B^{+} \to \tau^{+} \nu_{\tau}) = (1.79^{+0.56+0.46}_{-0.49-0.51}) \times 10^{-4}$$
$$\Gamma^{SM}(B^{+} \to \ell^{+} \nu) = \frac{G_{F}^{2}}{8\pi} |V_{ub}|^{2} f_{B}^{2} m_{B} m_{\ell}^{2} \left(1 - \frac{m_{\ell}^{2}}{m_{B}^{2}}\right)$$

→ Product of B meson decay constant f_B and CKM matrix element $|V_{ub}|$ $f_B \times V_{ub} = (10.1^{+1.6+1.3}_{-1.4-1.4}) \times 10^{-4} GeV$

Using $|V_{ub}| = (4.39 \pm 0.33) \times 10^{-3}$ from HFAG

$$f_B = 229^{+36+34}_{-31-37} MeV$$

$$f_B = 13\%(exp.) + 8\%(V_{ub})$$

First measurement of f_B!

 $f_B = (216 \pm 22)$ MeV from unquenched lattice calculation [HPQCD, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 212001 (2005)]



Charged Higgs contribution to $B \rightarrow \tau \nu$



Phys. Rev. D 48, 2342 (1993)



300

250

H[±] Mass (GeV/c^{*}) 120

100

50

Charged Higgs limits from $B^- \rightarrow \tau^- \nu_{\tau}$

If the theoretical prediction is taken for \mathbf{f}_{B} \rightarrow limit on charged Higgs mass vs. tan β

$$r_{H} = \frac{BF(B \to \tau \nu)}{BF(B \to \tau \nu)_{SM}} = \left(1 - \frac{m_{B}^{2}}{m_{H}^{2}} \tan^{2}\beta\right)^{2}$$

Belle 413-100 BB (95.5% C.L.)

LEP Excluded (95% C.L.)

40

tan β

20

Tevatron Run I

Excluded (95% C.L.)

60

80

100







New Belle result on $B^+\!\!\rightarrow\!\!\tau^+\!\nu$

Method: Tag B on one side with the semileptonic decay B \rightarrow D^(*) I v

 \rightarrow Neutrino not reconstructed in the tagging B decay sequence \rightarrow more background than in fully reconstructed hadronic decays

Again look for τ signature with "extra" energy in the ECAL



657 M $B\overline{B}$ with $D^{(*)}$ **n** tag









- Proceed through electroweak penguin + box diagram.
- Sensitive to New Physics in the loop diagram.
- Theoretically clean: no long distance contributions.
- May be sensitive to light dark matter (C. Bird, PRL 93, 201803 (2004))





$B \rightarrow K^{(*)}vv$: present limits





$B \rightarrow K^{(*)} vv$: prospects for 10/ab

Assuming no changes in the analysis & detector:





Why FCNC decays?

Flavour changing neutral current (FCNC) processes (like $b \rightarrow s, b \rightarrow d$) are fobidden at the tree level in the Standard Model. Proceed only at low rate via higher-order loop diagrams. Ideal place to search for new physics.





How can New Physics contribute to $b \rightarrow s$?

For example in the process:



Ordinary penguin diagram with a t quark in the loop

Diagram with supersymmetric particles





Searching for new physics phases in CP violation measurements in $b \rightarrow s$ decays

Prediction in SM:



$$a_f = -\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_f) \sin(\Delta m t)$$

$$\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_f) = \xi_f \sin 2\phi_1$$

The same value as in the decay $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_s!$

This is only true if there are no other particles in the loop! In general the parameter can assume a different value $sin2\phi_1^{eff}$



Result of 2003 (140/fb): surprise!

Measurement: points with error bars.

Standard Model predictions: dashed

Result of the unbinned likelihood fit: blue curve



Measure: S=-0.96±0.50, expect S= $sin2\phi_1$ =+0.731 ± 0.056

not conclusive \rightarrow needed more data

... with more data ...

$B \rightarrow \phi K_S$





June 5-8, 2006

Course at University of Tokyo









- To find NP we need to understand the SM contributions to a process.
 - Leading order term is expected to be the same as a SM weak phase.
 - Higher order terms including re-scattering, suppressed amplitudes, final state radiation and so on can modify our expectations.





CP asymmetry in time integrated rates ('direct CP', also for charged B)

$$a_{f} = \frac{\Gamma(B \to f) - \Gamma(\overline{B} \to \overline{f})}{\Gamma(B \to f) + \Gamma(\overline{B}^{-} \to \overline{f})} = \frac{1 - |\overline{A}/A|^{2}}{1 + |\overline{A}/A|^{2}}$$

Need $|\overline{A}/A| \neq 1$: how do we get there?

In general, A is a sum of amplitudes with strong phases δ_i and weak phases ϕ_i . The amplitudes for anti-particles have the same strong phases and opposite weak phases \rightarrow

 $A_f = \sum_i A_i e^{i(\delta_i + \varphi_i)}$ $\overline{A}_{\overline{f}} = \sum_i A_i e^{i(\delta_i - \varphi_i)}$

$$\left|A_{f}\right|^{2} - \left|\overline{A}_{\overline{f}}\right|^{2} = \sum_{i,j} A_{i}A_{j}\sin(\varphi_{i} - \varphi_{j})\sin(\delta_{i} - \delta_{j})$$

 \rightarrow Need at least two interfering amplitudes with different weak and strong phases.



Diagrams for $B \rightarrow \pi \pi$, $K\pi$ decays



•Penguin amplitudes are sizeable in both decays

Course at University of Tokyo



Direct CP violation in $\pi^+\pi^-$



Visible indication of direct CP violation.

Counting experiment consistent with the time-dependent fit (see lecture 1).



A difference in the direct violation of CP symmetry in B^+ and B^0 decays

CP asymmetry $\mathcal{A}_{f} = \frac{N(\overline{B} \to \overline{f}) - N(B \to f)}{N(\overline{B} \to \overline{f}) + N(B \to f)}$

Difference between B⁺ and B⁰ decays In SM expect $\mathcal{A}_{K^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}} \approx \mathcal{A}_{K^{\pm}\pi^{0}}$

Measure:

 $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{K^{\pm}\pi^{\mp}} &= -0.094 \pm 0.018 \pm 0.008 \\ \mathcal{A}_{K^{\pm}\pi^{0}} &= +0.07 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.01 \end{aligned}$

 $\Delta \mathcal{A} = +0.164 \pm 0.037$

A problem for a SM explanation (in particular when combined with other measurements)

A hint for new sources of CP violation?

a	ure	International weekly journal of science

LETTERS

Difference in direct charge-parity violation between charged and neutral *B* meson decays

Vol 452 20 March 2008 doi:10.1038/nat

The Belle Collaboration*





 $b \rightarrow s ||^{-1}$ was first measured in $B \rightarrow K ||^{-1}$ by Belle (2001).

Important for further searches for the physics beyond SM

Particularly sensitive: backward-forward asymmetry in K^{*} I⁺I

$$A_{FB} \propto \Re \left[C_{10}^* \left(s C_9^{eff} \left(s \right) + r(s) C_7 \right) \right]$$

 C_i : Wilson coefficients, abs. value of C_7 from b \rightarrow s γ s=lepton pair mass squared

Backward-forward asymmetry in K^{*} I⁺I







$A_{FB}(B \rightarrow K^* I^+ I^-)[q^2]$ at a Super B Factory



Zero-crossing q² for A_{FB} will be determined with a 5% error with 50ab⁻¹.

Strong competition from LHCb and ATLAS/CMS



Experimental methods in D⁰ mixing searches

The method: investigate D decays in the decay sequence: $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi^+$, $D^0 \rightarrow \text{specific final states}$

Used for tagging the initial flavour and for background reduction



 $p_{cms}(D^*) > 2.5 \text{ GeV/c}$ eliminates D meson production from $b \rightarrow c$



D⁰ mixing in K⁺K⁻, $\pi^+\pi^-$



 A_{M} , ϕ : CPV in mixing and interference

Signal: $D^{0} \rightarrow K^{+}K^{-} / \pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ from D^{*}

M, Q, σ_t selection optimized in MC

	K+K-	K ⁻ π+	π +π ⁻
N _{sig}	111x10 ³	1.22x10 ⁶	49x10 ³
purity	98%	99%	92%



side

PRL 98, 211803 (2007), 540fb⁻¹



D⁰ mixing in K⁺K⁻, $\pi^+\pi^-$



evidence for D⁰ mixing (regardless of possible CPV)

 \rightarrow y_{CP} is on the high side of SM expectations



D⁰ mixing in K_S $\pi^+\pi^-$

time-dependent Dalitz plot analysis

 $\mathcal{M}(m_{-}^2, m_{+}^2, t) \equiv \left\langle K_S \pi^+ \pi^- \left| D^0(t) \right\rangle =$

ime-dependent Dalitz plot analysis different decays identified through Dalitz plot analysis $D^{0} \rightarrow K^{*-}\pi^{+}$ CF

DCS:
$$D^{\mathbf{0}} \rightarrow K^{*+} \pi^{-}$$

CP: $D^{\mathbf{0}} \rightarrow \rho^{\mathbf{0}} K_{\mathbf{S}}$

time-dependence:



 $m_{+}^{2}=m^{2}(K_{S}\pi^{\pm})$: Dalitz variables

Rate: terms with $cos(x\Gamma t) exp(-\Gamma t)$, $sin(x\Gamma t) exp(-\Gamma t)$, • $exp(-(1+-y) \Gamma t) \rightarrow sensitive to <u>x and y</u>$



18 resonant BW terms + non-resonant contribution

Fit $\left|\mathcal{M}(m_{-}^2, m_{+}^2, t)\right|^2$ to the data distribution \Rightarrow x, y

$$\begin{aligned} & x = (0.80 \pm 0.29 \pm {}^{0.13}_{0.16})\% \\ & y = (0.33 \pm 0.24 \pm {}^{0.10}_{0.14})\% \end{aligned}$$



Relevant CKM elements of the 2x2 submatrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 - \frac{1}{8}\lambda^4 & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta) \\ -\lambda + \frac{1}{2}A^2\lambda^5[1 - 2(\rho + i\eta)] & 1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 - \frac{1}{8}\lambda^4(1 + 4A^2) & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3[1 - (1 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2)(\rho + i\eta)] & -A\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{2}A\lambda^4[1 - 2(\rho + i\eta)] & 1 - \frac{1}{2}A^2\lambda^4 \end{pmatrix}$$
phase: $\sim \frac{2\eta A^2\lambda^5}{\lambda} \sim O(10^{-3})$

CPV in D⁰ very small, $\leq 10^{-3}$; parameterization:

$$\frac{q}{p} \neq 1; \ \frac{q}{p} \equiv \left(1 + \frac{A_M}{2}\right)e^{i\varphi}; A_M, \varphi \neq 0$$

$D^{0} \rightarrow K^{+}\pi^{-}, K^{+}K^{-} / \pi^{+}\pi^{-}, K_{S} \pi^{+}\pi^{-}$

t evolution depends also on CPV parameters

- x, y at upper limit of SM expectation \rightarrow search for CPV
- at current level of sensitivity: positive signal clear indication of NP



Search for CP violation

CPV in D⁰ \rightarrow K⁺K⁻ / $\pi^+\pi^-$

Tag the D meson flavour (D or D-bar) by D* charge (=charge of the `slow' pion), D*+ $\rightarrow \pi^+D^0$



$$y_{CP} \equiv \frac{\tau(K^{-}\pi^{+})}{\tau(K^{-}K^{+})} - 1 = y\cos\varphi - \frac{1}{2}A_{M}x\sin\varphi$$
$$A_{\Gamma} = \frac{\tau(\overline{D}^{0} \to K^{-}K^{+}) - \tau(D^{0} \to K^{-}K^{+})}{\tau(\overline{D}^{0} \to K^{-}K^{+}) + \tau(D^{0} \to K^{-}K^{+})} = \frac{1}{2}A_{M}y\cos\varphi - x\sin\varphi$$

A_Γ = (0.01 ± 0.30 ± 0.15) **%**

indirect CPV



Search for CP violation - continued CPV in D⁰ \rightarrow K_s $\pi^+\pi^-$

95% C.L. contours for (x, y):

•CPV allowed: dash-dotted: statistical, dashed: statistical and systematic

(No CPV assumed: dotted and solid)

Dalitz plot fit separately for D^0 and $\overline{D^0}$:

•Fit parameters consistent for both samples → no direct CPV

•Parameters |q/p| and φ=arg(q/p) consistent with CP conservation

Fit assuming no direct CPV \rightarrow Parameters of CPV in mixing and interf. in mixing and decay:



$$|q/p| = 0.95 \pm {}^{0.22}_{0.20}$$

 $\phi = arg(q/p) = (-2\pm {}^{10}_{11})^{0}$



 $x(D^0) \approx 0.01; x(K^0) \approx 1; x(B_d) \approx 0.8; x(B_s) \approx 25$



- Measurements of CKM matrix elements and angles of the unitarity triangle
- Observation of direct CP violation in B decays
- Measurements of rare decay modes (e.g., $B \rightarrow \tau v$, $D\tau v$) by fully reconstructing the other B meson
- Observation of D mixing
- CP violation in $b \rightarrow$ s transitions: probe for new sources if CPV
- Forward-backward asymmetry (A_{FB}) in b \rightarrow sl⁺l⁻ has become a powerfull tool to search for physics beyond SM.
- Observation of new hadrons



New hadrons at B-factories

Discoveries of many new hadrons at B-factories have shed light on new class of hadrons beyond the ordinary mesons.









Model-indep. check of NP

M. Gronau, PLB 627, 82 (2005);

A_{cp} (Kπ) sum rule

D. Atwood & A. Soni, Phys. Rev. D 58, 036005(1998).

$$\mathcal{A}_{CP}(K^{+}\pi^{-}) + \mathcal{A}_{CP}(K^{0}\pi^{+})\frac{\mathcal{B}(K^{0}\pi^{+})}{\mathcal{B}(K^{+}\pi^{-})}\frac{\tau_{0}}{\tau_{+}} = \mathcal{A}_{CP}(K^{+}\pi^{0})\frac{2\mathcal{B}(K^{+}\pi^{0})}{\mathcal{B}(K^{+}\pi^{-})}\frac{\tau_{0}}{\tau_{+}} + \mathcal{A}_{CP}(K^{0}\pi^{0})\frac{2\mathcal{B}(K^{0}\pi^{0})}{\mathcal{B}(K^{+}\pi^{-})}\frac{2\mathcal{B}(K^{0}\pi^{0})}{\mathcal{B}(K^{+}\pi^{-})}\frac{2\mathcal{B}(K^{0}\pi^{0})}{\mathcal{B}(K^{+}\pi^{-})}\frac{2\mathcal{B}(K^{0}\pi^{0})}{\mathcal{B}(K^{+}\pi^{-})}\frac{2\mathcal{B}(K^{0}\pi^{0})}{\mathcal{B}(K^{0}\pi^{0})}\frac{2\mathcal{B}(K^{0}\pi^{0})}\mathcal{B}(K^{0}\pi^{0})}\frac{2\mathcal{B}(K^{0}\pi^{0})}{\mathcal{B}(K^{0}\pi^{0})}\frac{2\mathcal{B}(K^{0}\pi^{0})}\mathcal{B}(K^{0}\pi^{0})}\frac{2\mathcal{B}(K^{0}\pi^{0})}\mathcal{B}(K^{0}\pi^{0})}\frac{2\mathcal{B}(K^{0}\pi^{0})}{\mathcal{B}(K^{0}\pi^{0})}\frac{2\mathcal{B}(K^{0}\pi^{0})}\mathcal{B}(K^{0}\pi^{0})}\frac{2\mathcal{B}(K^{0}\pi^{0})}\mathcal{B}(K^{0}\pi^{0})}\frac{2\mathcal{B}(K^{0}\pi^{0})}\mathcal{B}(K^{0}\pi^{0})}\frac{2\mathcal{B}(K^{0}\pi^{0})}\mathcal{B}(K^{0}\pi^{0})}\frac{2\mathcal{B}(K^{0}\pi^{0})}\mathcal{B}(K^{0$$





$$A(s\bar{s}s) = V_{cb}V_{cs}^{*}(P_{s}^{c} - P_{s}^{t}) + V_{ub}V_{us}^{*}(P_{s}^{u} - P_{s}^{t}).$$
$$V_{cb}V_{cs}^{*} = A\lambda^{2} \qquad V_{ub}V_{us}^{*} = A\lambda^{4}(\rho - i\eta)$$

First term dominates \rightarrow

$$λ$$
 same as for J/ψK_S

$$\lambda_{\phi K_{S}} = \eta_{\phi K_{S}} \left(\frac{V_{tb}^{*} V_{td}}{V_{tb} V_{td}^{*}} \right) \left(\frac{V_{cd}^{*} V_{cb}}{V_{cd} V_{cb}^{*}} \right)$$

 $\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_{\phi K_{S}}) = \sin 2\phi_{1} = \sin 2\beta$